

POL 351 – Spring 2019 – Precept Evaluations

Section 1:

Instructor Questions - Frequency Analysis

I think that the overall quality of the precepts was:

I think that the overall quality of the precepts was:			
Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Excellent	5	7	78%
Very Good	4	0	0%
Good	3	2	22%
Fair	2	0	0%
Poor	1	0	0%

Precepts - Please comment on the quality of the precepts. How did they contribute to your learning in the course? To what extent did the preceptor raise challenging questions, help clarify course material, and encourage broad student participation? Was the preceptor responsive to students' questions, opinions, and criticism?

Comments
The precepts were super helpful for clarification. The preceptor was the best!! he really made the course better.
The precepts were messy and did not help to clarify any aspects of lecture.
Very good precepts, great discussions, helpful for papers, wanted to help us as much as possible
Federico was a great preceptor, however, I often felt he'd gone on long tangents and wasn't too helpful in helping me understand the material. He simply wanted us to regurgitate what we'd read that week and showed some favoritism toward a select few in the class. I found participating to be quite difficult even though I'd completed all the readings every week. I never really used my precept notes for my papers because they'd been a little all over the place and different from what I was used to.
Federico is incredible
Tiberti is incredibly thoughtful, fun, and kind. Always willing to help. It was so much fun to be in his precept.
Federico was an INCREDIBLE preceptor. He came fully prepared to every single meeting, with so much enthusiasm for the topics and energy to help. He helped clarify so many parts of the course for me, especially the economic concepts and the comparative practices. I wouldn't have been able to get through this course without Federico, as his passion made the work come alive for me!

Section 2:

Instructor Questions - Frequency Analysis

I think that the overall quality of the precepts was:

I think that the overall quality of the precepts was:			
Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Excellent	5	4	67%
Very Good	4	2	33%
Good	3	0	0%
Fair	2	0	0%
Poor	1	0	0%

Precepts - Please comment on the quality of the precepts. How did they contribute to your learning in the course? To what extent did the preceptor raise challenging questions, help clarify course material, and encourage broad student participation? Was the preceptor responsive to students' questions, opinions, and criticism?

Comments
I enjoyed the precept, but I felt as though it could have been a bit more structured. Sometimes I felt as though discussions went very off track.
Federico is an amazing preceptor who cares deeply about his students and the material. He was particularly skilled at relating course materials to political theories (voluntaristic, institutional, structural, etc.) and challenging us to question our thinking on how we evaluated and reasoned with different types of arguments. Federico was also great at making all students feel valued and encouraging a lively precept discussion.
Always prepared lots of questions for precept, which was nice. Very helpful in office hours and generally willing to help.
Federico is an amazing preceptor. His precepts started off as him asking us questions and us answering them (strictly related to the readings), which I did not find interesting or intellectually stimulating. However, later on during the semester we moved into discussion-based precepts which were always amazing. He is also great at clarifying reading or lecture material. Thanks Federico!
The precept had excellent discourse and Federico was a very good facilitator. He was responsive to questions and helped make things much clearer.